PERFORMANCE AND RESOURCE TABLES

o make the report more useful, this FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report (PAR) reports on targets and measures from the FY 2006 Annual Performance Plan (APP), which more accurately reflects updated targets of each performance measure. Individual bureau-specific APPs can be found on the Department of Commerce Web site at http://www.osec.doc.gov/bmi/budget/budgetsub_perf_strategicplans.htm. The resource tables with the performance tables are also combined to make the information easier to follow.

The following tables provide an array of information that previously was shown in separate tables. The information should help the reader clearly understand the resources expended for each Strategic Goal, Objective, and Performance Goal.

The system of reporting does not currently allow the Department to report on resources at the performance measure level but it is Commerce's hope to develop this capability in the future. It is important to note that if a performance measure has been met, a green circle will appear. A measure that was slightly below target (95 percent - 99 percent of the target) appears as yellow, while a measure that was definitely not met appears as red.

The information in the tables will follow the following format:

- Strategic Goal and Resources
- Objective and Resources
- Performance Goal and Resources
- Performance Measure

Note: Unless otherwise noted, measures that do not have targets, are new, or are baseline are not included in any count in this document. Resources for each performance goal are estimates and may be updated in the budget for FY 2007.

STRATEGIC GOAL 1

Provide the information and tools to maximize U.S. competitiveness and enable economic growth for American industries, workers, and consumers

STRATEGIC GOAL 1 TOTAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Total Funding	\$1,704.1	\$1,745.7	\$1,854.0	\$1,888.5		
FTE ¹	11,827	11,306	11,778	11,877		
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent						

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 1.1

Enhance economic growth for all Americans by developing partnerships with private sector and nongovernmental organizations

OBJECTIVE 1.1 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Total Funding	\$677.5	\$662.2	\$681.4	\$605.8		
FTE ¹	1,990	2,288	2,272	1,910		
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent						

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Increase private enterprise and job creation in economically distressed communities (EDA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)					
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	
	Actual	Actual	Actual ²	Actual	
Total Funding	\$296.6	\$258.3	\$252.4	\$213.9	
FTE ¹	155	149	134	134	

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² Actuals reflect direct obligations for economic development assistance programs (EDAP) and salaries and expenses (S&E); totals do not include one-time, disaster investments or reimbursable funding.

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
EDA	Private sector dollars invested in distressed communities as a result of EDA investments	\$640M	\$1,251M from FY 2000 investments and \$2,475M from FY 1997 investments	\$1,740M from FY 1998 investments (6 year) and \$947M from FY 2001 investments (3 year) 1	\$270M by 2008, \$674M by 2011, \$1,349M by 2014	\$1,781M from FY 1999 investments and \$1,791M from FY 2002 investments	•		

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)							
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met	
EDA	Jobs created or retained in distressed communities as a result of EDA investments	29,912 from FY 1999 investments	39,841 from FY 2000 investments and 47,607 from FY 1997 investments	68,109 from FY 1998 investments (6 year) and 21,901 from FY 2001 investments (3 year) ²	7,251 by 2008, 18,128 by 2011, 36,255 by 2014	47,374 from FY 1999 investments and 19,672 from FY 2002 investments		

¹ Targets were \$650M from FY 1998 investments (6 year) and \$480M from FY 2001 investments (3 year).

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Improve community capacity to achieve and sustain economic growth (EDA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)					
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	
	Actual	Actual	Actual ²	Actual	
Total Funding	\$68.8	\$67.3	\$71.9	\$71.3	
FTE ¹	84	80	72	73	

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² Actuals reflect direct obligations for EDAP and S&E; totals do not include one-time, disaster investments or reimbursable funding.

			PERFORMANCE (GOAL MEASURES			
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
EDA	Percentage of economic development districts and Indian tribes implementing economic development projects from the comprehensive economic development strategy process that lead to private investment and jobs	New	98.7%	97%	95%	97%	
EDA	Percentage of sub-state jurisdiction members actively participating in the economic development district program	95.3%	96.7%	90.1%	89-93%	91%	
EDA	Percentage of University Center clients taking action as a result of the assistance facilitated by the University Center	New	78.1%	78.4%	75%	79%	•
EDA	Percentage of those actions taken by University Center clients that achieved the expected results	New	85.7%	87.5%	80%	87%	

² Targets were 27,000 from FY 1998 investments (6 year) and 14,400 from FY 2001 investments (3 year).

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
EDA	Percentage of Trade Adjustment Assistance Centers (TAACs) clients taking action as a result of the assistance facilitated by the TAACs	New	92.4%	90%	90%	95%	•		
EDA	Percentage of those actions taken by Trade Adjustment Assistance Center clients that achieved the expected results	New	98.4%	98%	95%	97%			

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Strengthen U.S. industries (ITA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)					
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	
	Actual ²	Actual ²	Actual ²	Actual	
Total Funding	\$208.5	\$210.7	\$206.3	\$52.5	
FTE ¹	1,236	1,064	1,250	255	

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² In FY 2005 ITA reorganized its performance structure, reducing the number of goals from four to two goals for this objective. FY 2002-2004 actuals shown here reflect the level for the strengthen U.S. industries goal and the two discontinued goals.

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES							
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met	
IIA	Customer perception of ease of access to export and trade information and data	New	74	74	74	74		

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Expand U.S. exporter base (ITA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Total Funding	\$75.3	\$97.2	\$121.9	\$238.6		
FTE ¹	423	903	724	1,352		
FTE – Full-Time Equivalent						

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
ITA	Percentage of undertaken advocacy actions completed successfully	11.8%	10%	13%	10% to 15%	12%			
Ε	Number of U.S. exporters entering a new market	5,740	6,278	4,759	4,760 to 5,500	4,888			

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)								
	MEASURE FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Target Actual Met/Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not								
	Number of U.S. firms exporting for the first time	699	896	704	700-850	620			
ПА	Performance was not met, because: External factors have impacted this effort. Although the weak dollar has increased exports overseas, rising energy costs, may uncertainties, and trade disruptive externalities such as the Tsunami and recent Hurricanes have impacted many companies seek to expand to new markets overseas.								
Strategies for Improvement: ITA continues to encourage U.S. firms to enter exporting, especially in regions of the world least impacted by t externalities. ITA has outlined strategies to increase the number of new clients through targeting New-to-Export and companies, as well as rural and minority firms, e.g., ITA developed a strategy for ASEAN market to increase accessibility for U.S. exporters.							ew-to-Market		
ITA	Number of export 12,178 14,090 11,382 11,385 to 13,500 12,518 transactions made as a result of ITA involvement								
Ε	Percentage of TP/US&FCS program fee funded	New	New	1%	2%	2%			

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Increase access to the marketplace and financing for minority-owned businesses (MBDA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual							
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$28.3 92	\$29.0 92	\$28.9 92	\$29.5 95			
FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
	MEASURE FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Target Actual Met/Not Met									
	Clients receiving services	New	7,228	29,475¹	25,000	20,000³				
MBDA	Performance was not met, becau MBDA expects to achieve o assist minority firms with gro the number of clients center as MBDA provides services	nly 80 percent of oss receipts of at s are able to assi	least \$500,000. As	these larger firms	require more comp	olex and time-inten	sive services,			
	Strategies for Improvement: MBDA plans to revise the tastaff support and emergence	-		•	, MBDA has a new	ı efficiency measu	re to increase			
MBDA	Dollar value of contract \$1.3B \$0.7B \$0.9B ² \$0.8B \$0.9B ³ awards obtained									
MBDA	Dollar value of financial awards obtained	\$0.4B	\$0.4B	\$0.55B ²	\$0.45B	\$0.5B ³				

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
MBDA	Number of new job opportunities created	New	New	New	1,800	2,000³			
MBDA	Percent increase in client gross receipts	New	New	New	5%	15%³			
MBDA	Percent increase in American customer satisfaction index	New	New	New	5%	5%³			
MBDA	Number of national and regional strategic partnerships	6	8	231	200	220³			

¹ As a result of an IG report request, MBDA has broken this amount out to the following categories: BDC program clients (5,214), MBOC program clients (739), portal clients serviced (22,900), staff clients served (22), and roundtable project (600).

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 1.2

Advance responsible economic growth and trade while protecting American security

OBJECTIVE 1.2 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual							
Total Funding \$160.4 \$162.0 \$163.4 \$187.7 FTE¹ 929 795 943 992							
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Ensure fair competition in international trade (ITA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)								
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual								
Total Funding \$92.8 \$85.8 \$89.5 \$110.7 FTE¹ 571 341 576 630								
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent	FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
ΙΙ	Percentage of antidumping/ countervailing duty cases completed on time	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			

² These changes were made as a result of an IG review.

³ FY 2005 actual projection.



	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
Ι¥	Number of market access and trade compliance cases initiated	253	144	161	160 to 170	160			
ITA	Number of market access and trade compliance cases concluded	New	158	116	75 to 85	85			

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Advance U.S. national security, foreign policy, and economic interests by enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of the export control system (BIS)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)								
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual								
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$27.6 156	\$29.8 190	\$25.8 163	\$23.8 148				
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent	FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

			PERFORMANCE	GOAL MEASURES			
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
BIS	Median processing time for referral of export licenses to other agencies (days)	New	4	3	9	3	
BIS	Median processing time for export licenses not referred to other agencies (days)	New	9	9	15	7	
BIS	Median processing time for issuing draft regulations (months)	New	7	2	3	1	
BIS	Level of exporter understanding of BIS export control requirements: Value of information (average score on scale of 1-5)	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	•



	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)							
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met	
BIS	Level of exporter understanding of BIS export control requirements: Percent knowledge gained (index)	New	New	45%	45%	46%		

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Ensure U.S. industry compliance with the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) agreement (BIS)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)								
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual								
Total Funding FTE ¹								
FTE – Full-Time Equivalent								

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
							FY 2005 Met/Not Met			
BIS	Number of site assistance visits conducted to assist companies prepare for international inspections	16	12	12	12	12				

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Prevent illegal exports and identify violators of export prohibitions and restrictions for prosecution (BIS)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)								
	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Actual						
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$30.0 171	\$35.0 226	\$33.4 173	\$36.0 170				
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent								

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met			
	Number of investigative actions that result in the prevention of a violation and cases which result in a criminal and/or administrative prosecution	82	250	310	275	583				
1	Number of Post-Shipment Verifications (PSV) completed	415	397	401	400	512				

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Enhance the export and transit controls of nations seeking to improve their export control system (BIS)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)								
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual								
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$5.5 9	\$6.0 9	\$7.7 9	\$9.9 10				
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent								

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
							FY 2005 Met/Not Met			
BIS	Number of targeted deficiencies remedied in the export control system of program nations	25	39	41	40	40				

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 1.3

Enhance the supply of key economic and demographic data to support effective decision-making of policymakers, businesses, and the American public

OBJECTIVE 1.3 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)								
	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005					
	Actual	Actual	Actual					
Total Funding	\$866.2	\$921.2	\$1,009.2	\$1,095.0				
FTE ¹	8,908	8,223	8,563	8,976				
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent								

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Meet the needs of policymakers, businesses, non-profit organizations, and the public for current and benchmark measures of the U.S. population, economy, and governments (ESA/Census)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2004 Actual Actual ² Actual ²							
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$799.5 8,420	\$846.9 7,729	\$930.4 8,038	\$1,010.9 8,433			

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² Total obligations for performance goal excludes the Working Capital Fund obligations financed by other Census Bureau funds and are already reflected in the results for the other funds.



	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met ¹			
	Achieve pre-determined collection rates for Census Bureau censuses and surveys in order to provide statistically reliable data to support effective decision-making of policymakers, businesses, and the public	New		(1) 92.3% response rate for the Current Population Survey (CPS).	(1) 90% response rate for CPS.	(1) 91.9% response rate for CPS.				
ESA/CENSUS				(2) 91.6% response rate for the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS).	(2) 89% response rate for NCVS.	(2) 91.6% response rate for NCVS.				
ESA/CI				(3) 90.8% response rate for the American Housing Survey (AHS).	(3) 89% response rate for AHS.	(3) 89.2% response rate for AHS.				
				(4) 72% response rate for the Survey of Income and Program Participa- tion (SIPP).	(4) 80% response rate for SIPP.	(4) 85.2% response rate for SIPP.				
SUS				(5) 96.7% response rate for the Ameri- can Community Survey (ACS).	(5) At least a 94% overall weighted response rate using three modes of data collection – mail, telephone and personal visit for ACS.	(5) 96.8% overall weighted response rate using three modes of data collection – mail, telephone and personal visit for ACS.				
ESA/CENSUS				(6) 85.5% response rate for the Boundary and Annexation Survey (BAS).	(6) 85% response rate for BAS.	(6) 85.5% response rate for BAS.				
				(7) 81% response rate for the An- nual Trade Survey (ATS).						



		PERF	ORMANCE GOAL	MEASURES (conti	nued)		
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
	Release data products for Census Bureau programs on time to support effective decision-making of	New	New	(1) 10 data products released for SIPP.	(1) 2 SIPP data products released by 9/30/2005.	(1) 2 SIPP data products were released by 9/30/2005.	
	policymakers, businesses, and the public			(2) 2 data products released for the Survey of Program Dynamics (SPD).	(2) 12 CPS data products released by 9/30/2005.	(2) 12 CPS data products were released by 9/30/2005.	
				(3) 4 data products released for Census of Govern- ments.	(3) 6 CPS Supplement data products released by 9/30/2005.	(3) 6 CPS Supplement data products were released by 9/30/2005.	
ESA/CENSUS				(4) Economic Census Advance Report issued on schedule, in March 2004.	(4) 1 AHS data product released by 9/30/2005.	(4) 1 AHS data product was released by 9/30/2005.	
				(5) 577 Economic Census reports released.	(5) Economic Census: Issue all the geographic series reports by 9/30/2005; Issue 2 Survey of Business Owners (SBO) reports by 9/30/2005; Issue Business Expenses Survey (BES) Report by 6/30/2005.	(5) Economic Census: Issued 883 (100%) of the geographic series reports by 9/30/2005; Issued 2 SBO reports by 9/30/2005; Issued BES Report by 6/30/2005.	



		PERF	DRMANCE GOAL	MEASURES (conti	nued)		
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met ¹
	(continued)			(6) All 116 principal Economic Indica- tors were released on time.	(6) Release all 116 monthly and quarterly principal economic indicators according to pre- announced time schedule.	(6) Released all 116 monthly and quarterly principal economic indicators a ccording to pre- announced time schedule.	
ESA/CENSUS					(7) Annual Survey of Manufactures (ASM) released as scheduled.	(7) ASM was released as scheduled.	
					(8) Annual Trade Survey (ATS) released as scheduled.	(8) ATS was released as scheduled.	
					(9) Annual Retail Trade Survey (ARTS) released as scheduled.	(9) ARTS was released as scheduled.	
					(10) Service Annual Survey (SAS) released as scheduled.	(10) SAS was released as scheduled.	
	Introduce Census 2000-based samples as scheduled so that the household surveys can continue through the next decade, and so that policymakers, businesses, and the public can continue	New	New	(1) New samples implemented for the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) in February 2004.	(1) Consumer Expenditures Survey - Quarterly (CE-Q.) samples introduced by 11/30/2004.	(1) CE-Q samples introduced by 11/30/2004.	
ESA/CENSUS	to be confident in the major federal socioeconomic indicators these surveys provide		(2) New samples implemented for the Current Population Survey (including State Children's Health Insurance Program) in April 2004.	(2) Consumer Expenditures Survey - Daily (CE-D) samples introduced by 1/31/2005.	(2) CE-D samples introduced by 1/31/2005.		
					(3) National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) samples introduced by 1/31/2005.	(3) NCVS samples introduced by 1/31/2005.	
					(4) American Housing Survey -National (AHS-N) samples introduced by 5/31/2005.	(4) AHS-N samples introduced by 5/31/2005.	



		PERF	ORMANCE GO <u>AL</u>	MEASURES (conti	nued)		
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met ¹
ESA/CENSUS	Correct street features in TIGER (geographic) database to more effectively support: Census Bureau censuses and surveys, facilitate the geographic partnerships between federal, state, local and tribal governments, and support the E-Government initiative in the President's Management Agenda	New	250 counties were completed in FY 2003.	600 counties were completed in FY 2004.	610 counties to be completed in FY 2005.	623 counties were completed in FY 2005.	
ESA/CENSUS	Complete key activities for cyclical census programs on time to support effective decision-making by policymakers, businesses, and the public and meet constitutional and legislative mandates	New	New	(1) Completed initial mailing of 2002 Survey of Business Owners forms by 7/31/2004. (2) Decennial Census: Implemented the activities that supported the following objectives of the 2004 census test: • Questionnaire content • Hand held computers (HHC) devices for field work • Coverage improvements • Special place/ group quarters • Residence rules	(1) Detailed project plan for FY 2007 Economic Census by 9/30/2005. (2) Detailed project plan for FY 2007 Census of Governments by 9/30/2005.	(1) Completed detailed project plan for FY 2007 Economic Census by 9/30/2005. (2) Completed detailed project plan for FY 2007 Census of Governments by 9/30/2005.	
					(3) Intercensal Demographic Estimates: Improve controls for the 2004 ACS released by 5/30/2005. (4)Intercensal Demographic Estimates: CPS controls released each month in time for weighting monthly estimates. (5) Complete evaluations of the 2004 census test.	(3) Intercensal Demographic Estimates: Improved controls for the 2004 ACS released by 5/30/2005. (4) Intercensal Demographic Estimates: CPS controls were released each month in time for weighting monthly estimates. (5) Completed evaluations of the 2004 census test.	

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)									
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met ¹			
	(continued)				(6) Determine design requirements and select sites for the 2006 census test.	(6) Determined design requirements and selected sites for the 2006 census test. (7) Completed				
					preparation for and begin implementation of the 2005 National Census Test.	preparation for and began implementation of the 2005 National Census Test.				
					(8) Use research, testing, and development efforts to date to update relevant 2010 Census action plans.	(8) Used research, testing, and development efforts to date to update relevant 2010 Census action plans.				
ESA/CENSUS	Meet or exceed overall federal score of customer satisfaction on the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI)	New	New	71% score on ACSI.	73% score on ACSI.	73% score on ACSI.				
¹ Eac	ch assessment is for each measure	as a whole. In order	to be considered me	et, at least 75% of the	sub-targets must have	been met.				

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Promote a better understanding of the U.S. economy by providing the most timely, relevant, and accurate economic data in an objective and cost-effective manner (ESA/BEA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)												
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual												
Total Funding FTE ¹	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent												

			PERFORMANCE (GOAL MEASURES			
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
ESA/BEA	Timeliness: Reliability of delivery of economic data (number of scheduled releases issued on time)	50 of 50	48 of 48	54 of 54	54 of 54	54 of 54 ¹	



		PERF	ORMANCE GOAL	MEASURES (conti	inued)		
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
ESA/BEA	Relevance: Customer satisfaction with quality of products and services (mean rating on a 5-point scale)	4.3	4.4	4.3	Greater than 4.0	4.41	
ESA/BEA	Accuracy: Percent of GDP estimates correct	83%	88%	88%	Greater than 85%	96%1	
ESA/BEA	Budget Related: Improving GDP and the Economic Accounts ¹	Developed new measures to address gaps and updated BEA's accounts; designed prototype of new quarterly survey of international services; developed new pilot estimates that provide better integration with other accounts.	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to improving the economic accounts.	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to improving the economic accounts.	Successful completion of related Strategic Plan milestones.	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to improving the economic accounts. ¹	
ESA/BEA	Budget Related: Accelerating Economic Estimates ²	New	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to accelerating economic estimates.	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to accelerating economic estimates.	Successful completion of related Strategic Plan milestones.	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to accelerating economic estimates.	
ESA/BEA	Budget Related: Meeting U.S. international obligations ¹	New	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to meeting U.S. international obligations.	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to meeting U.S. international obligations.	Successful completion of related Strategic Plan milestones.	BEA completed all major Strategic Plan milestones related to meeting U.S. international obligations.	

¹ FY 2005 actual projection.

² Strategic Plan milestones are available in the BEA Strategic Plan on www.bea.gov, "About BEA." A report card of completed milestones also is available at www.bea.gov.



STRATEGIC GOAL 2

Foster science and technological leadership by protecting intellectual property, enhancing technical standards, and advancing measurement science

STRATEGIC GOAL 2 TOTAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)											
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual											
Total Funding \$2,153.7 \$2,241.3 \$2,147.5 \$2,456.5 FTE ¹ 10,068 10,074 10,005 10,022											
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent											

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2.1

Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research

OBJECTIVE 2.1 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)												
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual												
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$913.5 3,231	\$952.8 3,242	\$830.1 3,109	\$878.2 2,938								
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent												

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Promote innovation, facilitate trade, ensure public safety and security, and help create jobs by strengthening the nation's measurements and standards infrastructure (NIST)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)											
FY 2002 ² FY 2003 ² FY 2004 ² FY 2005 ² Actual Actual Actual Actual											
\$579.2 2,707	\$614.1 2,725	\$576.8 2,672	\$621.6 2,503								
	Actual \$579.2	Actual Actual \$579.2 \$614.1	Actual Actual Actual \$579.2 \$614.1 \$576.8								

			PERFORMANCE (GOAL MEASURES			
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
NIST	Qualitative assessment and review of technical quality and merit using peer review	Completed	Completed	Completed	Complete	Completed	
NIST	Peer-reviewed technical publications produced	New	1,267	1,070	1,100	1,148	
NIST	Standard reference materials (SRMs) sold	30,906	29,527	30,490	29,500	32,163	
NIST	NIST-maintained datasets downloaded	New	55,653,972	73,601,352	80,000,000	93,305,136	



	-	_	-		_					-	-	_	-	$\overline{}$	-					~ .	_				-		_	$\overline{}$				_	-	-		D		- /	_
А	ľ	P	E.	N	D	ш	Х	Α	:	P	E	К	н	U	K	IN	Λ	Α	1 (Ė.	А)	К	E	5	U	ш	Jŀ	((Ŀ	T	Α	В	Ш	ы	5

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)												
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met						
NIST	Number of items calibrated	2,924	3,194	3,373	2,700	3,145							

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Accelerate private investment in and development of high-risk, broad-impact technologies* (NIST)

	PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)											
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual												
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$198.1 249	\$199.7 247	\$187.2 204	\$138.3 207								
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent												

			PERFORMANCE (GOAL MEASURES			
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
NIST	Cumulative number of publications	747 from cumulative funding through FY 2001	969 from cumulative funding through FY 2002	1,245 from cumulative funding through FY 2003	990 from cumulative funding through FY 2004	1,462 from cumulative funding through FY 2004	
NIST	Cumulative number of patents	800 from cumulative funding through FY 2001	939 from cumulative funding through FY 2002	1,171 from cumulative funding through FY 2003	1,220 from cumulative funding through FY 2004	1,254 from cumulative funding through FY 2004	
NIST	Cumulative number of projects with technologies under commercialization	195 from cumulative funding through FY 2001	244 from cumulative funding through FY 2002	271 from cumulative funding through FY 2003	250 from cumulative funding through FY 2004	296 from cumulative funding through FY 2004	

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Raise the productivity and competitiveness of small manufacturers* (NIST)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)											
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual											
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$108.5 89	\$111.3 89	\$46.9 68	\$102.4 71							
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent											

Actuals for this performance goal lagged at least six months. Therefore, beginning with the FY 2005 PAR, NIST shifted to a format in which they report actuals one year later, i.e. FY 2004 actuals in the FY 2005 PAR, FY 2005 actuals in the FY 2006 PAR, etc...

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES							
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target ¹	FY 2005 Actual ²	FY 2005 Met/Not Met	
NIST	Number of clients served by Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) Centers receiving federal funding	21,420 from FY 2001 funding	18,748 from FY 2002 funding	18,422 from FY 2003 funding	6,517 from FY 2004 funding	16,090 from FY 2004 funding		
NIST	Increased sales attributed to MEP Centers receiving federal funding	\$636M from FY 2001 funding	\$953M from FY 2002 funding	\$1,483M from FY 2003 funding	\$228M from FY 2004 funding	\$2,025M from FY 2004 funding		
NIST	Capital investment attributed to MEP Centers receiving federal funding	\$680M from FY 2001 funding	\$940M from FY 2002 funding	\$912M from FY 2003 funding	\$285M from FY 2004 funding	\$1,023M from FY 2004 funding		
NIST	Cost savings attributed to MEP Centers receiving federal funding	\$442M from FY 2001 funding	\$681M from FY 2002 funding	\$686M from FY 2003 funding	\$156M from FY 2004 funding	\$754M from FY 2004 funding		

¹ Targets based on FY 2004 consolidated appropriations bill, which included an annual level for MEP of \$39.6M (which, less rescissions, netted \$38.7M).

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Enhance public access to worldwide scientific and technical information through improved acquisition and dissemination activities (NTIS)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005			
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual			
Total Funding	\$27.7	\$27.7	\$19.2	\$15.9			
FTE ¹	186	181	165	157			
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met			
NTIS	Number of new items available (annual)	514,129	530,910	553,235	530,000	658,138				
NTIS	Number of information products disseminated (annual)	16,074,862	29,134,050	25,476,424	25,800,000	26,772,015				
NTIS	Customer satisfaction	98%	97%	96%	98%	98%				

² Reported data reflect the impact of MEP services primarily on small manufacturing establishments. On some occasions, Centers will elect to serve establishments over 500 employees.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2.2

Protect intellectual property and improve the patent and trademark system

OBJECTIVE 2.2 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual ²		
Total Funding	\$1,144.0	\$1,190.9	\$1,233.0	\$1,508.4		
FTE ¹	6,593	6,581	6,627	6,825		

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent.

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Improve the quality of patent products and services and optimize patent processing time (USPTO)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual ²		
Total Funding	N/A	\$1,019.6	\$1,059.0	\$1,179.2		
FTE ¹		5,815	5,832	6,021		

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² Actuals as of September 17, 2005. Funding numbers by goal represent a preliminary distribution which is subject to revision.

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
	Patent allowance error rate	4.2%	4.4%	5.3%	4.0	4.6%1			
Performance was not met, because: USPTO did not implement a second review2 process until the second half of the fiscal year. Therefore, the error rate the target for the first half leading to not making the year target.						, the error rates we	ere well above		
	Strategies for Improvement: USPTO has implemented quality initiatives to address the current shortcomings. These initiatives are already making an impact; the error rate for the second half of FY 2005 improved significantly. USPTO anticipates further long-term quality improvements in 2006.								
USPTO	Patent in-process examination compliance rate	N/A	N/A	82%	84%	86.2%1			
USPTO	Patent first action pendency (months)	16.7	18.3	20.2	21.3	21.11			
USPTO	Patent total pendency (months)	24.0	26.7	27.6	31.0	29.11			
USPTO	Patent efficiency ²	\$3,376	\$3,329	\$3,556	\$4,122	\$3,8771			

¹ FY 2005 actual projection.

² Actuals as of September 17, 2005. Funding numbers by goal represent a preliminary distribution which is subject to revision.

² This measure is calculated by dividing total annual USPTO costs associated with the examination and processing of patents, including associated overhead and support expenses, by annual volumes (production units).

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Improve the quality of trademark products and services and optimize trademark processing time (USPTO)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005			
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual ²			
N/A	\$119.4	\$112.0	\$124.1			
	719	693	730			
	Actual	Actual Actual N/A \$119.4	Actual Actual Actual N/A \$119.4 \$112.0			

FTE - Full-Time Equivalent

² Actuals as of September 17, 2005. Funding numbers by goal represent a preliminary distribution which is subject to revision.

PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES							
MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met	
Trademark final action deficiency rate	4.3%	5.3%	5.8%	5.0%	5.9%1		
Performance was not met, becau	se:						

In FY 2005 USPTO focused on first improving first action quality, which is intended to result in a high quality initial examination of applications. In FY 2005 USPTO exceeded the first action deficiency rate by over 60 percent in FY 2005. The Office believes that building quality at the beginning of the process will yield higher quality throughout the process.

Strategies for Improvement:

USPTO plans on meeting this goal next year as it has implemented quality initiatives to address the current shortcomings. These initiatives are already making an impact; the error rate for the second half of FY 2005 was significantly lower than the error rate at the start of the year USPTO anticinates further long-term quality improvements in 2006

	start of the year. OSF10 anticipates further long-term quality improvements in 2006.							
USPTO	Trademark first action pendency (months)	4.3	5.4	6.6	6.4	6.31		
USPTO	Trademark average total pendency (months)	19.9	19.8	19.5	20.3	19.61		
USPTO	Trademark first action deficiency rate	N/A	N/A	7.9%	7.5%	4.7%1		
USPTO	Trademark efficiency ²	\$487	\$433	\$539	\$701	\$677 ¹		

¹ FY 2005 actual projection.

² This measure is calculated by dividing total USPTO costs associated with the examination and processing of trademarks, including associated overhead and support expenses, by volumes (office disposals).

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Create a more flexible organization through transitioning patent and trademark operations to an e-government environment and advancing intellectual property development worldwide (USPTO)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual ²	Actual	Actual	Actual ³		
Total Funding	NA	\$51.9	\$62.0	\$205.1		
FTE ¹	NA	47	102	74		

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

³ Actuals as of September 17, 2005. Funding numbers by goal represent a preliminary distribution which is subject to revision.

PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES							
MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met	
Patent applications filed electronically	N/A	1.3%	1.5%	4.0%	2.2%1		

Performance was not met, because:

The FY 2005 target of 4.0 percent was aggressive given the FY 2003 actual of 1.3 percent and FY 2004 actual of 1.5 percent. USPTO did continue to rise to 2.2 percent. The lower actual reflected a reluctance to file electronically. We anticipate that this actual will continue to rise since the Agency held multiple forums to identify specific components of patent applicants' reluctance to file electronically.

Strategies for Improvement:

For next year's target we are implementing user-community suggested changes to increase acceptance of our electronic filing system.

	System.						
USPTO	Patent applications managed electronically	N/A	Baseline	88%	90%	96.7%1	
USPTO	Trademark applications filed electronically	38%	57.5%	73%	70%	88%1	
USPTO	Trademark applications managed electronically	N/A	Baseline	98%	99%	99%1	
	Technical assistance activities completed	N/A	N/A	Baseline	80	59 ¹	

Performance was not met, because:

While the raw number of activities extracted for this measure was lower than the target, many activities were regional or consolidated, involving multiple countries. This multiplier effect is evidenced by the fact the internal measure of the number of countries involved greatly exceeded that target.

Strategies for Improvement:

USPTO plans on meeting our goal next year by offering technical advice and assistance in the form of our technical experts providing a review of developing countries' intellectual property laws and legal system (patents, trademarks, copyrights, enforcement of IP rights through criminal penalties, customs requirements and prosecutorial and judicial training) for compliance with the international trade standard of the World Trade Organization (WTO) Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property (TRIPS). USPTO feels this proactive technical assistance will help the Agency achieve its goal.

² The funding and FTE amounts for FY 2002 reflect the e-commerce and enhanced telecommunications and information services portion of this goal.

¹ FY 2005 actual projection.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2.3

Advance the development of global e-commerce and enhanced telecommunications and information services

OBJECTIVE 2.3 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005			
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual			
Total Funding	\$96.2	\$97.6	\$84.4	\$69.9			
FTE ¹	244	251	269	259			
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent	FTE – Full-Time Equivalent						

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Ensure that the allocation of radio spectrum provides the greatest benefit to all people (NTIA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Total Funding	\$23.4	\$24.5	\$28.5	\$30.4		
FTE ¹	141	147	159	169		
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent						

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met			
NTIA	Timeliness of processing	New	15	<12 business days ¹	12 business days	10 business days				
NTIA	Number of frequency bands evaluated to determine pos- sible improvements that could be made to use spectrum more efficiently	New	New	New	1	1	•			

¹ NTIA has reduced the average time to process frequency assignment actions from 15 to less than 10 days. NTIA's long-term goal is to improve spectrum management processes throughout the federal government so that time for spectrum assignments can be reduced from more than 15 days to 3 days or fewer by 2008, and ultimately to near instantaneously, supporting long-term goals for efficiency and effectiveness of spectrum use.

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Promote the availability, and support new sources, of advanced telecommunications (NTIA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)					
	FY 2002 ²	FY 2003 ²	FY 2004 ²	FY 2005 ²	
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	
Total Funding	\$72.8	\$73.1	\$55.9	\$39.5	
FTE ¹	103	104	110	90	

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² Amounts for FY 2002-2004 include those for the discontinued goal "Increase competition within the telecommunications sector and promote universal access to telecommunications services for all Americans."

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met			
NTIA	Support new telecom and information technology by advocating Administration views in FCC docket filings and Congressional proceedings	New	New	New	5 docket and proceedings	5 docket and proceedings				
NTIA	Quality of basic research as reflected in peer reviewed publications	New	5 Publications	7 Publications	6 Publications	6 Publications				
NTIA	Level of technology transfer activities conducted with the private sector through the Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADA)	New	5 CRADAs	5 CRADAs	3 CRADAs	4 CRADAs				

STRATEGIC GOAL 3

Observe, protect, and manage the Earth's resources to promote environmental stewardship

STRATEGIC GOAL 3 TOTAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Total Funding	\$3,398.4	\$3,458.6	\$3,802.0	\$4,064.0		
FTE ¹	11,585	11,898	11,868	11,918		
FTE – Full-Time Equivalent						

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 3.1

Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs

OBJECTIVE 3.1 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002 ²	FY 2003 ²	FY 2004 ²	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Total Funding	\$1,500.8	\$1,631.6	\$1,123.1	\$1,155.0		
FTE ¹	5,885	5,537	5,363	5,253		

¹ FTE - Full-Time Equivalent

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Understand climate variability and change to enhance society's ability to plan and respond* (NOAA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)					
	FY 2002 ²	FY 2003 ²	FY 2004 ²	FY 2005	
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	
Total Funding	\$312.0	\$347.5	\$239.5	\$256.9	
FTE ¹	785	625	603	599	

¹ FTE - Full-Time Equivalent

² In the FY 2004 PAR, the 2002-2004 amounts for the mission support goal were distributed among the four goals. In this PAR, the 2002-2004 mission support levels were separated out resulting in lower 2002-2004 levels than as reported in the FY 2004 PAR for the other four goals.

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES								
							FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
NOAA	U.S. temperature forecasts (cumulative skill score)	18	17	17	18	19			

² In the FY 2004 PAR, the 2002-2004 amounts for the mission support goal were distributed among the four goals. In this PAR, the 2002-2004 mission support levels were separated out resulting in lower 2002-2004 levels than as reported in the FY 2004 PAR for the other four goals.

^{*}Targets and actuals have been updated since the FY 2004 PAR as a result of more recent and accurate data. Amounts also may differ from those reported in the Congressional Justification exhibits due to the inclusion of mandatory funds in the amounts cited above.

		PERF	ORMANCE GOAL	MEASURES (conti	nued)		
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
NOAA	Reduce the uncertainty in the magnitude of the North American (NA) carbon uptake	Identified five pilot carbon profiling sites and four new oceanic carbon tracks.	Established five pilot atmospheric profiling sites. Established one oceanic carbon track; identified two additional oceanic carbon tracks.	Reduced uncertainty of atmospheric estimates of NA carbon uptake to +/- 0.5 Gt. carbon per year.	Reduce uncertainty of atmospheric estimates of NA carbon uptake to +/- 0.48 Gt. carbon per year.	Reduced uncertainty of atmospheric estimates of NA carbon uptake to +/- 0.4 Gt. carbon per year.	
NOAA	Determine the national explained variance (%) for temperature and precipitation for the contiguous United States using USCRN stations	Captured more than 85% of the annual national temperature trend and more than 55% of the annual national precipitation trend for the contiguous United States.	Captured more than 95% of the annual national temperature trend and 84% of the annual national precipitation trend for the contiguous United States.	Captured more than 96% of the annual national temperature trend and more than 90% of the annual national precipitation trend for the contiguous United States.	Capture 96.7% of the annual national temperature trend and 90% of the annual national precipitation trend for the contiguous United States.	Captured 96.9% of the annual national temperature trend and 91.4% of the annual national precipitation trend for the contiguous United States.	
NOAA	New climate observations introduced	192	282	529	1,014	1,133	
NOAA	Assess and model carbon sources and sinks globally	Established three new global background sites as part of the global flask network.	Completed a working prototype of a coupled carbon- climate model.	Developed carbon climate scenarios for input to assessment.	Submit quality- controlled Atlantic Ocean carbon datasets from the CO2/CLIVAR hydrographic cruises to national data centers.1	Submitted quality- controlled Atlantic Ocean carbon datasets from the CO2/CLIVAR hydrographic cruises to national data centers.	

¹ This FY 2005 target was incorrectly listed in the FY 2006 Annual Performance Plan (APP) as "Improve measurements of North Atlantic and North Pacific Ocean basin carbon dioxide fluxes to within +/-0.1 petagrams carbon/year."

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Secure society's needs for weather and water information* (NOAA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002 ²	FY 2003 ²	FY 2004 ²	FY 2005		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		
Total Funding	\$1,188.8	\$1,284.1	\$883.6	\$898.1		
FTE ¹	5,100	4,912	4,760	4,654		

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² In the FY 2004 PAR, the 2002-2004 amounts for the mission support goal were distributed among the four goals. In this PAR, the 2002-2004 mission support levels were separated out resulting in lower 2002-2004 levels than as reported in the FY 2004 PAR for the other four goals.

^{*}Targets and actuals have been updated since the FY 2004 PAR as a result of more recent and accurate data. Amounts also may differ from those reported in the Congressional Justification exhibits due to the inclusion of mandatory funds in the amounts cited above.

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
	MEASURE		FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
	Lead time (minutes), accuracy (%), and false alarm rate (FAR, %) for	Lead Time	12	13	13	13	FY 2005 data through July 2005: 13			
	tornado warnings	Accuracy	76%	79%	75%	73%	FY 2005 data through July 2005: 76			
A		FAR	73%	76%	74%	73%	FY 2005 data through July 2005: 77			

Performance was not met, because:

NOAA's National Weather Service (NWS) is currently not meeting the FY 2005 target for tornado false alarm ratio. There is a strong statistical relationship between accuracy and FAR using current technology and operational methodology. A higher rate of accuracy results in a higher FAR. National Emergency Manager and media surveys have indicated that higher FAR is "tolerable" if it results in longer lead times and increased accuracy.

Strategies for Improvement:

Training: Enhanced Mesocyclone and Tornado Vortex Signature Algorithms delivered during FY 2005 have improved Advanced Weather Interactive Processing System (AWIPS) tornado and analysis detection tools. The Open Radar Data Acquisition (ORDA) platform will be deployed in Builds 7 and 8, and Super Resolution WSR-88D data will be added to the ORDA in Build 9. Super resolution data provides forecasters the capability to view more precise images of tornadic signatures on radar displays, enabling earlier decisions on tornado warning, and reducing false alarms.

NOAA	Lead time (minutes) and accuracy (%) for flash flood warnings	Lead Time	52	41	47	48	FY 2005 data through July 2005: 54	
NO		Accuracy	89%	89%	89%	89%	FY 2005 data through July 2005: 89	
NOAA	Hurricane forecast track error (48 hours)	Nautical Miles	122	107	94	128	FY 2005 data available December 2005	N/A
NOAA	Accuracy (%) (threat score) of precipitation forecasts	Day 1	30	29	29	27	29	
NOAA	Lead time (hours) and accuracy (%) for winter storm warnings	Lead Time	13	14	15	15	FY 2005 data through June 2005: 17	
NO		Accuracy	89%	90%	91%	90%	FY 2005 data through June 2005: 91	
NOAA	Cumulative percentage of U.S. and inland areas that have imp ability to reduce coastal hazar	roved	8%	17%	17%	28%	28%	

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 3.2

Enhance the conservation and management of coastal and marine resources to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs

OBJECTIVE 3.2 RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
FY 2002² FY 2003² FY 2004² FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual						
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$1,584.1 3,984	\$1,576.5 4,365	\$1,461.3 4,327	\$1,554.5 4,228		

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Protect, restore, and manage the use of coastal and ocean resources through an ecosystem approach to management* (NOAA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002 ² FY 2003 ² FY 2004 ² FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual					
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$1,334.2 3,042	\$1,314.9 3,361	\$1,268.5 3,611	\$1,379.5 3,479		

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² In the FY 2004 PAR, the 2002-2004 amounts for the mission support goal were distributed among the four goals. In this PAR, the 2002-2004 mission support levels were separated out resulting in lower 2002-2004 levels than as reported in the FY 2004 PAR for the other four goals.

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES								
	MEASURE FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Target Actual Met/Not M								
	Number of overfished major stocks of fish	45	42 ²	42 ³	404	42 ¹	4		
NOAA	Performance was not met, becaustocks did not rebuild as ant		building plan.						
	Strategies for Improvement: Rebuilding plans have been	revised to reflect	altered conditions	S.					
NOAA	Number of major stocks with an "unknown" stock status	88	94	77⁵	81 ⁶	73 ¹			
	Percentage of plans to rebuild overfished major stocks to sustainable levels	90%	96%	96%	98%	96%1			
NOAA	Performance was not met, becau Rebuilding plan for Northern		t completed by S	eptember 30, 2005.					
	Strategies for Improvement: A draft rule was published August 19, 2005 and the final rule is expected spring 2006.								
NOAA	Increase in number of threatened species with lowered risk of extinction	7	7	87	6	81			

^{*}Targets and actuals have been updated since the FY 2004 PAR as a result of more recent and accurate data. Amounts also may differ from those reported in the Congressional Justification exhibits due to the inclusion of mandatory funds in the amounts cited above.

² In the FY 2004 PAR, the 2002-2004 amounts for the mission support goal were distributed among the four goals. In this PAR, the 2002-2004 mission support levels were separated out resulting in lower 2002-2004 levels than as reported in the FY 2004 PAR for the other four goals.

PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)								
MEASURE FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2005 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Target Actual Met/Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not								
Number of commercial fisheries that have insignificant	3	3	3	8	31			

Performance was not met, because:

Completion of regulations that would reduce the mortality of bottlenose dolphins in the Atlantic Ocean was delayed. The target date of December 2004 for the finalized Bottlenose Dolphin Take Reduction Plan was not met due to extended negotiations of conservation measures among members of the Take Reduction Team, state, industry, and environment groups.

Strategies for Improvement:

The proposed rule was published in November 2004. The rule is now in the clearance phase. The currently anticipated date for the Take Reduction Plan to be finalized is now March 2006. Following implementation of the plan, the fisheries will be monitored for one year to determine whether mortality has been reduced to insignificant levels.

Increase in number of	5	5	5	7	5 ¹	
endangered species with						
lowered risk of extinction						

Performance was not met, because:

Though many conservation actions have been implemented, resulting improvements in species condition were not deemed large enough to reduce the overall risk of extinction for any endangered species in FY 2005. The shortnose sturgeon and the smalltooth sawfish had the most likely chance of succeeding to meet the target. High water temperatures and low dissolved oxygen levels inhibited recovery of the southern populations of shortnose sturgeon, while habitat loss and degradation due to development and the four Florida hurricanes of 2004 inhibited the recovery of smalltooth sawfish.

Strategies for Improvement:

NOAA is working with other federal agencies and states to reduce or eliminate these threats.

⋖	Number of habitat acres	4,300/5,820	5,200/11,020	5,563/16,583	4,500/21,083	8,333/24,916	
NOA	restored (annual/cumulative)						

¹ FY 2005 actual projection.

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Support the nation's commerce with information for safe, efficient, and environmentally sound transportation* (NOAA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
	FY 2002² FY 2003² FY 2004² FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual						
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$249.9 942	\$261.6 1,004	\$192.8 716	\$175.0 749			

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² The baseline was reduced from 46 stocks to 44 due to one stock having mistakenly been listed as overfished in 2000 and two other stocks being merged into one. This reduction is in addition to the previous reduction caused by 10 pacific salmon stocks being listed as endangered and therefore removed from the fishery management regime. This number had been reported erroneously as 43 in the FY 2004 Performance and Accountability Report (PAR) and 44 in the FY 2006 Annual Performance Plan (APP). The procedures that led to these errors have been overhauled.

³ Actual revised from 43 to 42 due to restatement of FY 2003 actual.

⁴ The original target was 42 of the original 46 baseline stocks. However, the baseline reduction to 44 stocks technically revised this target to 40 (see footnote 2).

⁵ The FY 2004 actual reflects technical changes in the way stocks are reported that reduced the baseline by 10 stocks.

⁶ This target was based on an earlier estimate for FY 2004 rather than on the FY 2004 actual, which was not available until August 2005.

⁷ Preliminarily reported as 7. Revised to 8 due to significant increase in abundance of Middle Columbia River 0. mykiss.

² In the FY 2004 PAR, the 2002-2004 amounts for the mission support goal were distributed among the four goals. In this PAR, the 2002-2004 mission support levels were separated out resulting in lower 2002-2004 levels than as reported in the FY 2004 PAR for the other four goals.

^{*}Targets and actuals have been updated since the FY 2004 PAR as a result of more recent and accurate data. Amounts also may differ from those reported in the Congressional Justification exhibits due to the inclusion of mandatory funds in the amounts cited above.

		P	ERFORMANCE G	DAL MEASURES			
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met
NOAA	Reduce the hydrographic survey backlog within navigationally significant areas (square nautical miles surveyed per year)	1,514	1,762	2,070	2,700	3,079	
NOAA	Percentage of U.S. counties rated as enabled or substantially enabled with accurate positioning capacity ¹	New	New	25%	28%	32.2%	
NOAA	Percentage of National Spatial Reference System (NSRS) completed (cumulative %)	83%	84%	88.3% ²	89%³	89.7%	
NOAA	Accuracy (%) and FAR (%) of forecasts of ceiling and visibility (3 miles / 1000 feet)	45%/71%	48%/64%	45%/65%	46%/68%	FY 2005 data through August 2005: 46%/63%	
NOAA	Accuracy (%) of forecast for wind speed and wave height	52%/68%	57%/71%	57%/67%	57%/67%	FY 2005 data through August 2005 57%/67%	

¹ This is a new measure for FY 2006. FY 2004 and FY 2005 amounts are provided for informational purposes.

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Provide critical support for NOAA's mission* (NOAA)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
FY 2002² FY 2003² FY 2004² FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual						
Total Funding FTE ¹	\$313.5 1,716	\$250.5 1,996	\$1,217.6 2,178	\$1,354.5 2,437		

¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent

² FY 2004 Actual incorrectly stated in FY 2004 PAR.

³ The FY 2005 target was incorrectly understated in the FY 2005 Annual Performance Plan (APP) and FY 2006 APP as 87%. The correct target is 89%.

² In the FY 2004 PAR, the 2002-2004 amounts for the mission support goal were distributed among the four goals. In this PAR, the 2002-2004 mission support levels were separated out resulting in lower 2002-2004 levels than as reported in the FY 2004 PAR for the other four goals.

^{*} There are no GPRA measures for this performance goal since the activities of this goal support the outcomes of the other performance goals in Strategic Goal 3.

MANAGEMENT INTEGRATION GOAL

Achieve organizational and management excellence

MANAGEMENT INTEGRATION GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual							
Total Funding FTE ¹	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Identify and effectively manage human and material resources critical to the success of the Department's strategic goals (DM)

PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)							
FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Actual Actual Actual							
Total Funding FTE ¹	*						
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES								
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met	
MQ	Provide accurate and timely financial information and conform to federal standards, laws, and regulations governing accounting and financial management	New	New	New	Eliminate any reportable condition within one year of the determination that there is a reportable condition; 90% of management that have access to the Consolidated Reporting System (CRS) have financial data / reports available within one day of the 15th of the month after submitting the raw data to the CRS.	Corrective action plan (CAP) met Reportable condition not eliminated		

Performance was not met, because:

Substantial progress was made on the findings that resulted in this reportable condition last year, but one bureau's corrective actions were taken too late in the fiscal year to have avoided this reportable condition this year, despite the bureau's best efforts.

Strategies for Improvement:

This year's corrective actions are being addressed early in the fiscal year by that bureau, with the expectation that the basis for the reportable condition will be corrected early in the fiscal year.



		PERF	ORMANCE GOAL	MEASURES (conti	nued)				
	MEASURE	FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Me		
DM	Effectively use competitive sourcing	New	New	New Departmental FAIR Inventory Guidance has been developed	Complete feasibility studies for 168 commercial FTEs to determine potential new FY 2005-2006 studies	New FY 2005- 2006 feasibility studies have been nominated. FY 2005 FAIR inventory has been scrubbed to determine candi- dates for feasibility studies			
	Obligate funds through performance-based contracting	New	New	42% of \$806M	50% of eligible service contracting dollars	< 50% of eligible service contracting dollars			
DM	Performance was not met, because: Federal Procurement Regulations led to the creation of a new formula that excluded certain types of research contracts that would have been included under the formula used in FY 2004. For the first three quarters the target was being met, however, it dipped below the target in the fourth quarter when not as many performance-based contracts were awarded.								
	Strategies for Improvement: DM will re-examine the data (FPDS-NG) to determine bet				Federal Procureme	nt Data System-Ne	ext Generation		
DM	Obligate contracts to small businesses	New	New	Small business 62%	44.8% of contracts	61.7% of contracts			
DM	Acquire and maintain diverse and highly qualified staff in mission-critical occupations	New	New	Implement learning manage- ment online sys- tem in the Office of the Secretary (70%); continue improving representation of underrepresented RNO group within targeted critical job groups (10%); maintain fill-time standard of 30 days (10%) and assess applicants' and bureaus' satisfaction with	Improve representation of underrepresented RNO groups; evaluate implementation of learning management system; maintain 30 days fill-time standard.	Underrepresented RNO groups improved from 28% to 29%; increased number of courses and established base- line of 26,845 users from evaluation of learning management system; maintained 30-day fill-time.			

	PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES (continued)								
MEASURE		FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
No	Improve the management of information technology	New	New	New	IT investments under development have cost/schedule overruns and performance shortfalls averaging less than 10%; POA&M action items to complete C&A's are documented and on schedule	IT investments under development have cost/schedule overruns and performance shortfalls averaging less than 10%; POA&M action items to complete C&A's are documented and on schedule			

PERFORMANCE GOAL: Promote improvements to Commerce programs and operations by identifying and completing work that (1) promotes integrity, efficiency, and effectiveness; and (2) prevents and detects fraud, waste, and abuse (OIG)

	PERFORMANCE GOAL RESOURCES (Dollars in Millions)						
	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005			
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual			
Total Funding	\$20.9	\$22.0	\$21.0	\$21.4			
FTE ¹	136	140	128	115			
¹ FTE – Full-Time Equivalent							

PERFORMANCE GOAL MEASURES									
MEASURE		FY 2002 Actual	FY 2003 Actual	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2005 Target	FY 2005 Actual	FY 2005 Met/Not Met		
910	Percentage of OIG recommendations accepted by departmental and bureau management	95%	97%	97.5%	90%	99%			
910	Dollar value of financial benefits identified by OIG	Modified	\$43,323,124	\$26,000,000	\$23,000,000	\$32,084,247			
910	Percentage of criminal and civil matters that are accepted for prosecution	Modified	50%	67%	62%	81%			